

00:41:31 Wendy Wilcox (she/her): Wendy Wilcox, Library

00:41:50 Donald Kenkel: Don Kenkel, Brooks School of Public Policy

00:42:01 Alex Nading: Alex Nading, Anthropology

00:42:09 Risa Lieberwitz: Risa Lieberwitz, ILR Senator

00:42:11 Richard Bensel: Richard Bensel, Government

00:42:43 Stephen Vider: Stephen Vider, History

00:42:52 Steven Jackson: Steve Jackson, Information Science

00:43:09 Jason Kovari (he/him): Jason Kovari, Library

00:43:27 Kelly Hume: Kelly Hume, Clinical Sciences

00:43:36 Jonathan Russell-Anelli: Jonathan Russell-Anelli, Soil Science

00:43:41 Martha Field: Martha Field, Nutritional Sciences

00:44:06 Yuval Grossman: Yuval Grossman, Physics

00:44:29 Louis Longchamps: Louis Longchamps, Soil and Crop Sci, CALS

00:44:51 Warren Bailey: Warren Bailey, Johnson Graduate School of Management

00:45:12 Nancy Pollak: Nancy Pollak, Comparative Literature

00:46:00 Ken Birman: Ken Birman, Computer Science

00:50:40 Buz Barstow: Buz Barstow, BEE

00:55:54 Malte Ziewitz: Sign-in: Malte Ziewitz, Science & Technology Studies

00:56:24 Maria Gonzalez Pendas: Maria Gonzalez Pendas, Architecture, AAP

00:56:25 David Zax: David Zax, Chemistry & Chemical Biology

00:56:25 Harold Hodes: sign-in: H Hodes, PHIL

00:56:37 Rhonda Gilmore: Sign-in: Rhonda Gilmore, Human Centered Design Department

00:56:42 Courtney Roby: Courtney Roby, Classics

00:56:56 Laurent Dubreuil: Signing in: Laurent Dubreuil, Romance Studies

00:57:11 Alexandra Blackman: Alexandra Blackman, Government

00:57:14 Durba Ghosh: Durba Ghosh, serving as alternate for Oren Falk, history

00:57:16 Bruno Xavier: Bruno Xavier, food science

00:57:25 Steve Marschner: Steve Marschner, computer science

00:57:28 Rajesh Bhaskaran: Sign in: Rajesh Bhaskaran, Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

00:57:30 Jeanne Varney: Jeanne Varney, JCB

00:57:51 Jill Short: Thank you to all Senators for signing in via chat.

00:58:01 mary katzenstein: signing in: mary fainsod katzenstein, Govt but representing CAPE

00:58:05 Andrea Stevenson Won: New faculty orientation would be great!

00:58:08 David Lee: David Lee, Dyson School

00:58:57 Roxanne Marino: New Senator orientation is a very good idea, thanks

00:59:47 Wendy Wilcox (she/her): I would love to see all the Faculty Senate Committees submit an annual report so we can read about their activities

01:00:56 Richard Bensel: That is an excellent idea, Wendy!

01:01:08 Neema Kudva: OMG — writing from India ... Eve, thank you. I'm touched and honored.

01:01:59 Durba Ghosh: It is the middle of the night in India! Go to sleep!

01:02:44 Wendy Wilcox (she/her): Thank you Neema!

01:02:53 Mark Lewis (he/him/his): Congrats Neema!

01:02:56 Mark Lewis (he/him/his): Thank you!

01:03:17 Steven Jackson: Congrats Neema, and well earned. Thank you.

01:04:26 Wendy Wilcox (she/her): Chat is a problem for anyone attending in person. I have attended several in person meetings and ended up logging into the meeting to watch the chat.

01:05:53 Jonathan Russell-Anelli: @Wendy. I agree but I bring my laptop because I didn't/don't want to lose the content

01:06:55 Jill Short: As an example of annual reports for committees, see this webpage <https://theuniversityfaculty.cornell.edu/committees/standing-senate-committees/capp-current/>

01:08:34 Wendy Wilcox (she/her): Thanks Jill and Eve!

01:17:32 Stephen Vider: thank you

01:24:55 Lisa Nishii: One point of clarification: the eligibility criteria would be the same across colleges/schools; however, the colleges would play an important role in reviewing the student's progress toward degree and conferring with the student's academic department to determine if eligibility criteria have been met.

01:35:39 Wendy Wilcox (she/her): Thanks Robert. I agree that this isn't reducing the emphasis on grades among the undergraduates.

01:37:01 David Zax: we also feel this will increase the emphasis on finding high mean grade courses. who does that serve?

01:38:55 Alexandra Blackman: There was a concern in our department that issuing honors at the college level would favor certain majors. Some suggested to issue honors to the top 5%, etc within each department

01:40:10 Martha Field: Is there a concern that this will make students MORE competitive with each other?

01:41:11 Richard Bense: Picking up on Alexandra's comment, the Department of Government felt that departments should be allowed to set higher standards for honors than the College of Arts and Sciences at large. That would help stem the "race to the bottom" on grades which has run rampant at Cornell over the last ten years or so.

01:49:30 Lisa Nishii: @Richard, departments can set higher standards for the scholarship-based honors (honors, high honors, and highest honors) if they would like.

01:51:58 Richard Bense: Thanks, Lisa! Our suggestion was that competition between departments via grades would further increase grade inflation so we suggested that departments be allocated a given number of slots and, if they so wished, make fewer awards and set higher standards across the board.

01:52:33 Wendy Wilcox (she/her): When was this resolution introduced to the faculty senate?

01:52:37 Richard Bense: I wonder if we could have the resolution in the form that it was submitted for this session.

01:55:25 Richard Bense: What is the purpose of presenting the unrevised version of the resolution? That was not what the sponsors submitted. And we could eliminate all the colors on the right. Resolutions should be considered in exactly the form that they were presented for the session.

01:59:24 Wendy Wilcox (she/her): Thank you Durba. I wholeheartedly agree. It feels like certain members of the Senate do not appreciate the more diverse voices.

02:01:51 Richard Bense: Durba...The original resolution was introduced almost all nine months ago. And, yes, we revised it over that time. But both the manipulation of slides by the UFC and the attribution of motive is outrageous. The original resolution was a response to the problems in the Faculty Senate the previous spring.

02:03:46 Wendy Wilcox (she/her): I also disagree that the faculty senate should approve the nominations committee. We elect members of the nominations committee. We do not elect each department's senator.

02:04:13 Wendy Wilcox (she/her): *nomination committee members of the ad hoc committees

02:09:18 Durba Ghosh: @AlexNading: I agree. I would hope that faculty senators could consult their department colleagues and solicit their views. From my colleagues in the Arts College and in ILR, I understand a number of faculty senators don't consult the folks who voted for them as representatives.

02:11:23 Alex Nading: @Durba: I was not voted on, and I am not aware that my department elects its senator (but I am new). I share senate business (including a reminder that Senators must be elected) via email; it is not a regular agenda item in faculty meetings. But I am aware that many senators do not do this work to bring colleagues and act as their representatives

02:12:42 Durba Ghosh: My department has a regular protocol of sharing our views regarding the issues facing the senate, either by email or in department meetings. We "elect" by voting but not usually contested ;)

02:12:45 Kathryn Caggiano: As an RTE representative for ENG, it is difficult to bring Senate issues to a constituency when there is not even a listserv (in ENG or University-wide) for RTE faculty.