

00:18:47 Christine Holmes (she/her): Christine Holmes, Postdoctoral Studies

00:18:50 Risa Lieberwitz: Risa Lieberwitz, Faculty Senator, ILR

00:19:19 Harold Hodes: Woops, that's H Hodes, Philosophy!

00:19:23 Debbie Cherney: Debbie Cherney, CALS, Animal Science

00:19:27 Stephen Vider: Stephen Vider, History

00:19:33 Andrea Stevenson Won: Andrea Stevenson Won, Communication

00:19:38 Nicholas Sanders: Nicholas Sanders, Econ and Brooks School

00:19:45 Alexandra Blackman: Alexandra Blackman, Government

00:19:46 Jason Kovari (he/him): Jason Kovari, Library (sitting in for Wendy Wilcox)

00:19:54 Saleh Kalantari: Saleh Kalantari, Human Centered Design

00:19:59 Itziar Rodriguez de Rivera: Itziar Rodriguez de Rivera, Romance Studies

00:20:04 Marcus Smolka: Marcus Smolka, Molecular Biology and Genetics

00:20:14 Kelly Hume: Kelly Hume, DCS

00:20:19 Doug Antczak: Doug Antczak Microbiology and Immunology

00:20:21 Laura Goodman - Cornell: Laura Goodman, PEH

00:20:35 Nancy Pollak: Nancy Pollak, Comparative Literature

00:20:37 Richard Bensel: Richard Bensel, Department of Government

00:20:37 Pamela Chang: Pamela Chang, Microbiology and Immunology

00:20:41 Yuval Grossman: Yuval Grossman, Physics

00:20:41 Laurent Saloff-Coste: Laurent Saloff-Coste Mathematics

00:20:42 Beth Milles: Beth Milles, Performing and Media Arts

00:23:47 Teresa Pawlowska: Teresa Pawlowska, Plant Pathology & Plant-Microbe Biology

00:24:05 Steve Marschner: Steve Marschner, Computer Science

00:24:29 Jonathan Russell-Anelli: Jonathan Russell-Anelli, Soil Science

00:29:04 Buz Barstow: Buz Barstow BEE

00:29:11 Jill Short: Please sign in with your name and department in chat please

00:29:39 Warren Bailey: Warren Bailey, Johnson Graduate School of Management

00:29:45 Sherry Colb: Sherry Colb, Law School

00:30:00 Laurent Dubreuil: Laurent Dubreuil, Romance Studies

00:33:26 Ailong Ke: Ailong Ke MBG

00:35:54 David Zax: David Zax chem & chem bio

00:41:11 - Connie Yuan 袁郁/圆玉: Connie Yuan, Global Development

00:41:45 Ruth Richardson: Ruth Richardson Civil and Environmental Engineering

00:45:38 Jonathan Russell-Anelli: isn't this an advice and consent role on our part?

00:46:22 Jonathan Russell-Anelli: advice is recommendation while consent is permission

00:48:09 Alex Nading: I see no value in voting for a resolution with this number of conditions. If we have this many reservations, a stronger statement would be a resolution against the proposed program as we have seen it. Given the response to previous resolutions, the resolution leaves too much room for interpretation. There is no relationship between consenting to the general idea of such a program and expressing a negative view of this specific version, which has been sharply criticized in several ways. This resolution opens the door for the provost et al to proceed however they see fit.

00:51:16 Adam Anderson: Adam Anderson Psychology

00:52:27 Richard Bense: Call the question...

00:52:49 Alex Nading: The question has been called

00:53:00 Richard Bense: I second the call

00:57:42 David Delchamps: Hard for me to hear the in-person folks.

00:57:49 David Delchamps: Some of them ...

00:59:33 Alex Nading: My comments above apply to the resolution as a whole

00:59:54 Kelly Hume: can the slide go back to the original rather than the amendment?

01:01:38 Alex Nading: What will be the criteria for satisfying our conditions? Unclear

01:07:21 Jonathan Russell-Anelli: I like David D.'s idea to replace gain for seek in the 4th resolution - it gives everything above teeth

01:07:46 Laurent Dubreuil: No doubt we need a more powerful wording...

01:08:36 Alex Nading: Again, unless our principled support of any person any study is under question, this resolution offers little that is new, and different words probably won't help. The proposal we have seen has multiple fatal flaws, and concrete responses have not been forthcoming

01:26:15 Oren Falk: general note: the time allocation for discussion of agenda items is preposterously insufficient

01:26:33 Jonathan Russell-Anelli: I think we do need something that student can get a sense of where they stand,... plus we should reward/recognize successful performance.

01:26:56 Jonathan Russell-Anelli: It doesn't necessarily need to be a dean's list though

01:28:11 Oren Falk: David Lee noted in conversation earlier that the resolution he proposed does not "do anything" (quoting from memory. We spent an inordinate amount of time discussing a resolution that does nothing + amendments to it but gunned through the conversation on the latter much more weighty resolutions

01:28:57 Beth Milles: I agree with Oren Falk—still wanting to discuss. And now feeling disprectful by texting.

01:29:16 Adam Anderson: Me too. If we would leave space for others to speak in the senate that would be a nice start

01:36:37 Adam Anderson: amen

01:37:45 Harold Hodes: Re Eve's graph: how could many senators speak at Senate meetings, given the number of senate meetings per year and the amount of time devoted to discussion at meetings? Why does having 100 senators never speak indicate a failure of shared governance?

01:38:13 Adam Anderson: Minority rule

01:38:34 Laurent Dubreuil: "Being able to ask questions freely" implies we have enough time for discussion!

01:38:48 Bruno Xavier: Ditto!

01:38:59 Adam Anderson: Stop letting the same people talk

01:39:28 Adam Anderson: AAUP has hijacked the senate

01:39:59 Oren Falk: "Being able to ask questions freely" also depends on having a sense that senate discussions & votes aren't summarily ignored by those who make the decisions

01:40:15 Laurent Dubreuil: Yes, Oren.

01:41:04 Richard Bensel: Vice President Varner reported that she "agreed that the resolution has more to do with Section 2-OPUF and less to do with the UFC or the Dean of Faculty positions embedded in the University bylaws." That was the entirety of the General Counsel's opinion, reached after seven months of study.

01:41:55 David Zax: I'm finishing 3 years on the N&E committee. never seen any attempt to get approval of any ad hoc committee appointments

01:44:44 Adam Anderson: Lets make AAUP meeting public

01:46:07 Richard Bensel: All other assemblies...the University Assembly, the Student Assembly, and the Graduate and Professional Assembly...control their own agendas The Faculty Senate is the sole exception.

01:46:21 Beth Milles: I appreciaite the candors of this conversation and and the This year it has felt like we have encountered an exacerbation- an exhorbitant amount of pushback during these meetings- during each item- these discussions do not always feel productive -it is uncomfortable and approaches a lack of the unproductive - the culture of the senate has changed this year - and I reach out

to all of us- to see how -we have the possibility - responsibility to change it— this year the discussions have felt curtailed— and it feels there is an attitude of distrust

01:48:14 Kathryn Caggiano: The third and fourth "Resolve" clauses are in direct conflict with the notion of "Faculty Senate should have adequate time for discussion and deliberation at its meetings"

01:48:21 Ruth Richardson: I would love to hear from more senators than the “often talkers” from the graph shown. I’m new here but am turned off by the animosity I feel. I am frankly shocked by how aggressive the meetings get.