

The Final Reports Were Group Edited

Sample email to WG-S on 3/30/2021 11:06 PM

Hi WG-Sers

Our work is nearly done. **Please take a look at the attached and relay your edits and concerns.**

The new draft is a permuted version of the December draft with some detail left out. There is an emphasis on a “requirement framework” that is resourced by the central administration but executed by the colleges and grad fields. Based on feedback over the past few months we had to back off the single course idea. Nevertheless, it still has a prominent role in the proposal.

Good to hear back from you by the end of Thursday. Presentation in the Senate is

Moved from Mar31 to an extra meeting that is being held on April 14. Voting two weeks after that likely.

Thanks!

Charlie

Where We Are

April 21 Meeting

Final Discussion of C-Report.

Continued discussion of S-Report and F-Report

April 22-29

eVoting on the Center Proposal

May 5 Meeting

Final Discussion of S-Report and F-Report

May 6-13

eVoting on Student and Faculty Education proposals

Where We Are in the Process

The Senate will debate and possibly modify the [Working Group] recommendations before registering formal support through a vote or multiple votes.

The recommendations will be made to the President and Provost, who then, in consultation with the deans, will consider academic implications and financial resources. **This is the “implementation phase.”**

There Will Be Votes on Three Resolutions

Each report is “packaged” in a resolution.

Originally, they looked like this:

Whereas President Pollack charged the Faculty Senate to develop plans for an educational requirement for Faculty in her [July 2020 letter](#) to the Cornell community.

Be it resolved that the Faculty Senate endorses the recommendations that are set forth in the [WG-F Final Report](#).

Says nothing about the importance of Faculty engagement during the implementation phase.

Be it resolved that the Faculty Senate endorses the recommendations that are set forth in the [WG-F Final Report](#).



Be it resolved that the Faculty Senate believes that the recommendations set forth in the [WG-F Final Report](#) are **worthy of careful consideration** by the President and Provost;

Be it further resolved that broad, transparent consultation with the faculty must attend any decision to implement a WG-F recommendation;

Be it finally resolved that such consultation include engagement with the Faculty Senate and whatever standing committee might be relevant, e.g., the [Academic Freedom and Professional Status of the Faculty Committee](#), the [Educational Policy Committee](#), and the [Faculty Committee on Program Review](#).

Voting Yes or No

Yes. You think (overall) that the report recommendations have sufficient potential to be considered for implementation by the President and Provost and trust that the continued engagement of faculty and the Senate is effective.

No. You think (overall) that the report recommendations are so bad that they should not even be considered for implementation by the President and Provost, even if continued engagement of faculty and the Senate is effective.