

15:38:07 From Carl Franck to Everyone : Yes
15:38:07 From Thomas Björkman to Everyone : Yes
15:38:08 From Michael Thonney to Everyone : Yes
15:38:08 From Neil Saccamano to Everyone : yes
15:38:08 From daviddelchamps to Everyone : Yes
15:38:08 From Chris Schaffer to Everyone : yes
15:38:08 From bethmilles to Everyone : yes
15:38:09 From Laurent Dubreuil to Everyone : Y
15:38:09 From Jennifer Birkeland to Everyone : yes
15:38:10 From Rhonda Gilmore to Everyone : Yes
15:38:10 From barbasch to Everyone : yes
15:38:10 From Larry Van De Valk to Everyone : Yes
15:38:10 From Carolyn McDaniel to Everyone : yes
15:38:11 From Jonathan Russell-Anelli to Everyone : yes
15:38:11 From Elizabeth Lamb to Everyone : Yes
15:38:11 From Margaret McEntee to Everyone : Yes
15:38:11 From Laura Goodman to Everyone : yes
15:38:12 From Jeanne Varney to Everyone : Yes
15:38:12 From Juan P Hinestroza to Everyone : YES
15:38:12 From Tamar Kushnir to Everyone : yes
15:38:13 From Martha Field to Everyone : yes
15:38:13 From Maria Wolfe to Everyone : yes
15:38:13 From rfb2 to Everyone : Yes
15:38:13 From Charles Walcott to Everyone : Yes!
15:38:13 From David Lee to Everyone : Yes
15:38:13 From Michael Tomlan to Everyone : Yes
15:38:14 From Paul Soloway to Everyone : yes
15:38:14 From Meejeong Song to Everyone : yes
15:38:14 From Suyoung Son to Everyone : yes

15:38:14 From Oskar Liivak to Everyone : Yes

15:38:14 From Guillaume (GEE-yohm) Lambert (LAMB-bear) to Everyone : yes

15:38:15 From mary katzenstein to Everyone : yes

15:38:15 From K.E. von Wittelsbach to Everyone : Yes.

15:38:15 From Risa Lieberwitz to Everyone : Yes

15:38:15 From Maria Figueroa to Everyone : yes

15:38:15 From Maurine Linder to Everyone : Yes

15:38:16 From Roxanne Marino to Everyone : yes

15:38:16 From Walter De Jong to Everyone : yes

15:38:16 From Walker White to Everyone : Yes

15:38:16 From Ken Birman to Everyone : yes

15:38:17 From Peter Wolczanski to Everyone : yes

15:38:17 From Landon Schnabel to Everyone : yes

15:38:17 From Maria Gandolfo Nixon to Everyone : yes

15:38:18 From Robin Dando to Everyone : yes

15:38:18 From Marcus Smolka to Everyone : yes

15:38:18 From Joe Wakshlag to Everyone : yes

15:38:18 From Lars Vilhuber to Everyone : Yes

15:38:19 From Ailong Ke to Everyone : yes

15:38:20 From Oren Falk to Everyone : y

15:38:20 From Teresa Pawlowska to Everyone : yes

15:38:21 From Bruce Lewenstein (he/him) to Everyone : yes to admissions advisory group

15:38:21 From Erik Born to Everyone : yes

15:38:21 From Bruce van Dover to Everyone : yes

15:38:22 From Connie Yuan to Everyone : Yes

15:38:22 From Itziar Rodriguez de Rivera to Everyone : yes

15:38:23 From Rosemary Avery to Everyone : yes

15:38:23 From Mary MacAusland to Everyone : yes

15:38:24 From Tim Devoogd to Everyone : Yes

15:38:25 From Warren Bailey to Everyone : abstain

15:38:25 From dz17 to Everyone : Yes

15:38:27 From Harold Hodes to Everyone : yes

15:38:27 From Jason Kovari (he/him) to Everyone : Yes (alt on behalf of library)

15:38:28 From Vilma Santiago-Irizarry to Everyone : Yes

15:38:29 From Abby Cohn to Everyone : yes

15:38:30 From Brooke Duffy to Everyone : Yes

15:38:34 From Edwin Kan to Everyone : Yes

15:38:34 From Ariel Ortiz-Bobea to Everyone : Yes

15:38:37 From K.E. von Wittelsbach to Everyone : My PROXY vote: Yes, on behalf of Comp. Lit.
(Authorized by Nancy Pollak)

15:38:38 From Wendy Wilcox to Everyone : Yes

15:38:41 From Jolene Rickard to Everyone : yes

15:38:48 From Robert Travers to Everyone : yes

15:38:56 From Anthony Hay to Everyone : yes

15:39:04 From Alexandra Blackman to Everyone : yes

15:39:10 From Jolene Rickard to Everyone : yes

15:39:53 From Rajesh Bhaskaran to Everyone : yes

15:41:33 From Andre Kessler to Everyone : yes

15:41:36 From Brooke Duffy to Everyone : yes

15:42:03 From Abby Cohn to Everyone : Could you talk about the budget implications?

15:42:13 From Jill Short to Everyone : voting for first SOS is over

15:42:18 From egc to Everyone : sure, why not

15:42:39 From mary katzenstein to Everyone : what consideration has been given to the whole Uighur question that has caused some to hesitate forging collaboration with Peking govt institutions?

15:43:29 From Paul Soloway to Everyone : yes to SHA proposal

15:43:35 From S.C. Pryor to Everyone : Dos this have any implications for faculty in terms of new reporting requirements for overseas collaborations?

15:44:13 From Maria Gandolfo Nixon to Everyone : Is Cornell conferring their degree? or Peking University?

15:44:15 From barbasch to Everyone : abstain

15:44:56 From Jill Short to Dan Barbasch(Direct Message) : for the first SOS or the SHA SOS?

15:45:34 From ts23 to Everyone : Are the faculty supportive of this or is this driven by the administration for profit - it seems that this is more work for faculty.

15:46:57 From Tim Devoogd to Everyone : In the past, there have sometimes been concerns in China about academic and intellectual freedom. Are there protocols in place to allow instructors to be able to speak about anything that is relevant to the program?

15:48:09 From Ken Birman to Everyone : I have a question but would prefer to speak up

15:51:33 From Kimberly Kopko to Everyone : Yes

15:52:25 From Ken Birman to Everyone : I think it is too soon to hold a yes/no vote on this.

15:52:35 From Ken Birman to Everyone : We should study this more closely first

15:52:47 From K.E. von Wittelsbach to Everyone : Quite, Ken.

15:53:36 From K.E. von Wittelsbach to Everyone : I second Ken's idea to table this.

15:53:39 From Abby Cohn to Everyone : I second

15:53:40 From S.C. Pryor to Everyone : Can we be sure that faculty members involved in this program will not be subject to additional reporting requirements and POTENTIALLY excluded from Federal awards?

15:53:40 From Michael Thonney to Everyone : Second

15:53:43 From egc to Everyone : Second

15:53:51 From Elizabeth Lamb to Everyone : I agree

16:01:12 From Paul Ginsparg to Everyone : The recent case in this regard was Brian Wansink, which I suppose would have fallen under "falsification", but there was an argument that it wasn't intentional.

16:01:41 From Thomas Björkman to Everyone : Undisclosed HARKing is pretty widespread. My biggest challenge training grad students. That is research misconduct. How does that fit in this policy?

16:02:37 From Neema Kudva to Everyone : @Thomas — what is HARKing?

16:02:59 From Thomas Björkman to Everyone : Hypothesizing after the results are known.

16:03:01 From Richard Bensele to Everyone : How does the process work if the allegation comes from outside the University?

16:03:12 From Ken Birman to Everyone : How would biased behavior be treated? Suppose someone rejects a paper for non-academic reasons such as political views, or religious ones ("That darn liberal drives me nuts! No way will I recommend funding his NSF proposal")

16:04:09 From Lars Vilhuber to Everyone : HARKing is usually labeled questionable research practice, but not research misconduct.

16:04:26 From Ken Birman to Everyone : HARKing?

16:04:46 From Paul Ginsparg to Everyone : @ken: "Hypothesis after result known"

16:04:46 From John Callister to Everyone : Hypothesizing after the results are known

16:05:59 From Thomas Björkman to Everyone : With my students, I treat HARKing as more serious than questionable because it leads to drawing false conclusions. But it is also every new researchers impulse on seeing a new data set. An important learning process.

16:06:26 From Paul Soloway to Everyone : Re Research Integrity: Is there an appeal process for the complainant?

16:09:45 From Harold Hodes to Everyone : What happened to the appeal process for the respondent?

16:10:45 From Richard Bensele to Everyone : Given that complaints can come from outside the University

16:11:26 From Richard Bensele to Everyone : is it the case that the University could initiate a case in the absence of a formal complaint?

16:18:10 From Paul Ginsparg to Everyone : i don't agree that "everyone" starts out Harking (e.g., i didn't)

16:19:09 From Thomas Björkman to Everyone : OK, Paul's off the hook.

16:19:23 From Paul Ginsparg to Everyone : but of course i agree it's a major issue (related as you've mentioned to the combination of harking and p-value hacking employed, perhaps ignorantly, by wansink)

16:21:09 From Harold Hodes to Everyone : Does this proposal apply only to SCIENTIFIC research (however that may be understood)? If so, that should be said explicitly.

16:21:15 From daviddelchamps to Everyone : "Intentionality" of HARKing increases monotonically with experience. A veteran researcher HARKing is IMHO guilty of a serious offense.

16:27:32 From Thomas Björkman to Everyone : @Delchamps The process should recognize the increasing culpability with experience and intentionality.

16:28:34 From daviddelchamps to Everyone : Agree with TB.

16:52:32 From S.C. Pryor to Everyone : GREAT IDEA - full throated support!

16:54:13 From S.C. Pryor to Everyone : More transparency is a great idea

16:54:38 From bethmilles to Everyone : Support transparency.

16:55:10 From Michael Thonney to Everyone : I really like the outline that Charlie put together. The track to tenure should not be a hidden process.

16:55:49 From Richard Bensele to Everyone : I very much agree with Laurent. One of my concerns would be the stifling of faculty consultations as a community by the necessity to conform with formal rules.

16:56:23 From ts23 to Everyone : The goal is transparency and fairness without being prescriptive

16:56:54 From Maria Gandolfo Nixon to Everyone : transparency is critical!

16:57:10 From Richard Bensel to Everyone : I agree completely with transparency but I saw a lot of prescriptions in this outline.

16:58:17 From Joanie Mackowski to Everyone : yes, transparency, fairness: these are good, crucial.

16:58:58 From Laurent Dubreuil to Everyone : Equating transparency with (even 'loose') standardization looks very unconvincing to me.

16:59:50 From Joanie Mackowski to Everyone : If there is an idiosyncratic, tyrannical unit, how much will transparent practices help to change that culture toward the better?

17:00:41 From Rhonda Gilmore to Everyone : Support transparency and applaud the efforts to bring appropriate consistency to our diverse colleges and departments

17:01:07 From Ken Birman to Everyone : Bad behavior thrives in the dark and tends to end (or be ended) when it comes to light.

17:01:10 From daviddelchamps to Everyone : My departmental AHCs just gather material and make no recommendation, even implicit.

17:01:25 From Michael Thonney to Everyone : Agree with Ken.

17:01:39 From Bruce van Dover to Everyone : +1 Ken

17:02:39 From Courtney Roby to Everyone : Agree about transparency, but our earlier discussion about research suggests just how difficult it would be even to try to articulate policies commensurable between colleges or even departments.

17:02:53 From Courtney Roby to Everyone : Fairness/commensurability within departments seems key.

17:03:40 From Paul Soloway to Everyone : @Neema - lack of annual reviews is a concern. It is hard to be fair and clear if feedback is limited.

17:03:49 From daviddelchamps to Everyone : Agree with Courtney.

17:04:33 From Neema Kudva to Everyone : @Paul — yes, and its been brought up and changes are being made — I bring it up only to point to the extent of variation that exists e=with regard to process.

17:05:00 From Shannon Gleeson to Everyone : if we head down this route -- this transparency should enumerate not only what excellence means in Research, but also Service and Teaching. so very squishy.

17:05:01 From ts23 to Everyone : It should not only be within departments as some colleges have similar departments across the college, inherently bringing unfairness to the tenure process within colleges. The issue is should the process differ across departments within colleges or does that add unfairness to candidates so being in one department is better than the other

17:05:06 From Richard Bensele to Everyone : One of unintended effects of intense annual reviews is that raises the anxiety of candidates. They then emphasize short-term research rather than broad projects.

17:05:56 From Courtney Roby to Everyone : Anyway, this is a really important project and I look forward to seeing how it evolves. I'll encourage our younger faculty to weigh in!

17:06:10 From bethmilles to Everyone : Thank you!

17:06:13 From Maria Gandolfo Nixon to Everyone : Happy beginning of the semester!

17:06:26 From Ariel Ortiz-Bobea to Everyone : Thank you!

17:06:30 From Paul Ginsparg to Everyone : charlie i've been watching simultaneously the hearings, amazing new footage

17:07:40 From Paul Ginsparg to Everyone : i meant "trial" not "hearings"

17:22:54 From Mark Lewis to Everyone : I have a 530 meeting. Thank you all. Very important and interesting discussion.

17:25:00 From Oren Falk to Everyone : thanks all