

Voting on Five Resolutions

- The Code of Academic Integrity Resolution split into three parts so actually seven votes required.
- Will use qualtrics. Voting window: Nov 11 – Nov 18.
- Ballots emailed to Senators soon after meeting.
- What follows is a review of the resolutions.

Number 1

Are you in favor of the [Resolution in Support of Naming a Residence Hall After Barbara McClintock](#). If this UFC-sponsored resolution is approved, then the Senate would submit a nomination to the North Campus Building Naming Committee requesting that one of the new residences be named after Nobel Laureate and renowned Geneticist Barbara McClintock (B.S. '23, M.S. '25, PhD '27).

Number 2

Are you in favor of the [Resolution on the JCB Professor of the Practice Policy](#)? If approved, then the S.C. Johnson College of Business would be able to enact a PoP percent limitation plan that unifies the Senate-approved plans that already exist for the School of Hotel Administration, the Dyson School of Applied Economics and Management, and the Johnson Graduate School of Management. The reporting of the internal vote on the proposal conforms with the legislation and is above all required thresholds. The Senate's Committee of Academic Programs and Policy supports the resolution.

	Percent Who Voted (Need 2/3)	Percent Who Voted Yes (Need 1/2)
TT	129/157 (82%)	122/157 (77%)
RTE	52/72 (72%)	46/72 (63%)

Number 3

Are you in favor of the [Resolution on RTE Percent Limitation Approval Procedures](#)? If this CAPP-sponsored resolution is approved, then the colleges would be provided with an unambiguous framework for submitting to the Senate an adjustment of their RTE percent limitation constraints. The legislation ensures that the proposed limitation on the ratio of RTE-to-TT faculty is carefully justified enabling the Senate to assess the impact that the modification would have on the role of tenure in the academy.

Number 4

Are you in favor of the [Resolution to Revise the RTE Cap Percentage in the CVM](#)? If approved, then the College of Veterinary Medicine would conform to an RTE percent limitation based on the total number of lecturers, senior lecturers, senior extension associates, senior research associates, research professors (all ranks), clinical professors (all ranks), and professor-of-the-practice (all ranks). This will not decrease the number or proportion of tenure-track positions, which will always be the majority. The proposal conforms with the pending legislation on RTE percent limitations. It would enable the CVM to align its RTE faculty with titles that match their work, which is critical to maintain excellence in its clinical and public health missions. The proposal is unanimously endorsed by the Senate's Committee on Academic Programs and Policy.

Number 5

Are you in favor of that part of the [Resolution on Changes to the Code of Academic Integrity Prompted by the S20 Semester](#) that is concerned with changing the grade option? If this EPC-supported change is approved, then students under investigation for an AI Code violations would be prohibited from dropping or changing the grade option in the course until cleared of all violations. Furthermore, the instructor would be allowed to offer a student taking their course S/U, the option of changing to the LET grade option before assigning a grade penalty after a guilty finding.

Number 6

Are you in favor of that part of the [Resolution on Changes to the Code of Academic Integrity Prompted by the S20 Semester](#) that is concerned with having an independent witness during the primary hearing? If this EPC-supported change is approved, then the independent witness is still required even if the hearing is recorded. While the independent witness is normally a member of the faculty, the legislation would make it acceptable for a member of the staff to serve in this capacity.

Number 7

Are you in favor of that part of the [Resolution on Changes to the Code of Academic Integrity Prompted by the S20 Semester](#) that is concerned with the handling of large cases? If this EPC-sponsored change is approved, then the instructor would be able to designate a member of either the University or RTE faculty to run a primary hearing in cases that involve more than three students. In that situation the hearing must be recorded and the instructor retains full responsibility for determining guilt and an appropriate sanction (if any).