

GPSA Vote Results

A = I support CRP-A

B = I support CRP-B

Neither = I support neither CRP-A nor CRP-B

NAME	FIELD	VOTE	OPTIONAL COMMENT
Carol-Rose Little	Linguistics	A	
Cheyenne Cannarozzo	Veterinary Medicine	B	I think CRP-A disproportionately affects professional students, particularly veterinary, given that professional fields more broadly employ faculty from a variety of degree programs and fields.
Elena Michel	Plant Biology	A	
Nate Rogers	Mechanical and Aerospace Eng	A	
Margaret	Applied Economics and Management	B	
Jason Sifkarovski	Veterinary Medicine	B	
Tyler McCann	Plant Biology	B	
Motasem Kalaji	Communication	B	
Jesse Goldberg	English	A	I support a ban on same-field relationships, but think it should be revisited next year for Professional schools.
Manisha Munasinghe	Computational Biology	B	
Daniel Evanich	Plant Biology	A	
Kristen Angierski	English	A	
Tanner Pearson	Applied Engineering Physics	B	
Jaron Kent-Dobias	Physics	B	
Lena Abu-Ali	Civil and Environmental Eng	B	
David Dunham	German Studies	A	
Ethan Cecchetti	Computer Science	B	I support the most permissive policy that still effectively enforces a high level of academic integrity and removes conflicts of interest.
Marie Lambert	Comparative Literature	B	
Jorge Cartaya	Comparative Literature	A	

Samir Durvasula	Electrical and Computer Engineering	B	There are enough corner cases that requires a level of flexibility. Disclosure allows for an objective way to handle such cases
Hannah Keese	Mathematics	A	
Cinnamon Mittan	Ecology and Evolutionary Bio	A	
Dietrich Geisler	Computer Science	B	CRP-B provides more flexibility for future changes while avoiding issues with field size and managing current relationships.
Wenyu Zhang	Statistical Science	B	
Felicia New	Molecular Biology and Genetics	Neither	
Ashton Yoon	Food Science	B	
Andy L Barrientos-Gomez	Romance Studies	A	I support CRP-A which ultimately prohibits sexual and romantic relationships between faculty and graduate/professional students if both are affiliated with the same graduate field or degree program.
Rebecca Harrison	Science and Technology Studies	A	I'm happy to see this critical power dynamic within fields being addressed. I am concerned that the GPSA does not accurately represent Professional Students in this vote, yet this still does not change my support of CRP-A.
Cassandra Stambuk	Animal Science	B	
Alex Loiben	Plant Pathology and Plant-Microbe Biology	B	I support B as long as each field is required to formally approve a field-specific plan by the end of 2018
Gabrielle Kanter	Law	B	The way that both policies are written at this point fails to captures the differences between graduate, professional, and masters schools, however the CRP-B plan is superior. The Committee should consider making these enforcement mechanisms and the disclosure/recusal plan unique to each college and school instead of university-wide to adequately capture these differences between graduate and professional students.
Breanne Kesselstein	Plant Pathology and Plant-Microbe Biology	B	Multiple students in my field reached out to me in support of policy B, and none in support of policy A.
Karl Kunze	Plant Breeding	B	I support the idea that relationships with potential power imbalances should be addressed in the graduate faculty community however I do not feel that I, as someone who is voting for this policy, have the authority to dictate someone else's personal relationship in an absolute case proposed by CRP-A.

Daksh Arora	Material Science	B	
Kavya Krishnan	Soil and Crop Sciences	B	I feel CRP-A doesn't fully take into account the complexities of Masters and Professional students, as well as students in dual or minor fields. Also, I'm of the opinion that if the goal is to prevent abuse of power, then pushing for disclosure might be more useful.
Arcadio Valdes Franco	Plant Breeding	B	I believe a clear set of guidelines and regulations should be enough to guarantee the appropriateness of a relationship between two consenting adults.
Alexei Viarrael	Johnson Graduate School Mgmt	B	
Martha Johnson	Policy Analysis and Management	B	
Arnaldo Rodriguez-Gonzalez	Theoretical and Applied Math	B	This vote/opinion is heavily contingent on the effective implementation of an appropriate recusal plan that neither encourages couples to hide their relationships from institutional oversight nor creates direct/indirect avenues for the power imbalance between students and professors in their field to be amplified. This being said, it is my belief that the policy for governing this specific issue should be field/program dependent, as the structure, size, and policies of fields/programs will generate different conditions under which allowing these relationships can be generally positive or generally negative.
Vincent Tseng	Information Science	B	
Alexa Cohn	Microbiology	A	