Professor of the Practice Enabling Legislation

Reasons for Modernizing Cornell's Academic Titles

- Despite 2002 approval of Clinical Professor titles,
 Cornell remains woefully behind its peers in terms of having a competitive set of academic titles
- This deficiency has four serious and demonstrable negative impacts:
 - Non-competitive in hiring specialized faculty
 - Tenure track hiring for dual career couples
 - Special diversity considerations
 - Impairment of tenure standards

Professor of the Practice Title

- Amend 2002 Clinical Professor legislation to allow alternate nomenclature
- Professor of Practice title used by most of our peers (Harvard, Yale, Columbia, Penn, Chicago, MIT, Duke, Hopkins, Virginia, University of California ...)
- Others use Teaching Professor, Professor in the Field
- Legislation retains unit specific approval processes and percentage caps of Clinical Professor legislation
- Allows units that have approved Clinical Professor titles to migrate to alternate nomenclature if appropriate

 Proposal is supported by all Colleges and Schools and by AFPS