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The DLC will identify broad educational policy issues raised by the electronic delivery of Cornell courses … the DLC should summarize the perceived benefits and pitfalls, for both the University and individual faculty, of Cornell’s participation in MOOCs … [and] should be conscious of other developments in distance learning, such that the policies are relevant in other contexts.
THE LIFE OF THE DLC

• May 14: First Meeting
• May 21: Cornell edX Contract Announced
• Sixteen meetings in all, with reports from working groups on resource allocation, credentialing and credit, educational quality, administrative structures, and intellectual property rights
• February 3: Final Report Approved
Massive Open Online Courses, offered by edX, Coursera and other consortia, with

- massive enrollments (median around 33K, about 6% completion)
- primary aim at students in institutions of higher education or prospective students (but most enrollees seem outside this target)
- production values, formatting and interaction to increase viewing and engagement
THE DIVERSITY OF DISTANCE LEARNING: CONSORTIUM MOOCS (con’t)

• high production costs, relatively low cost per enrollee
• a special concern: faculty time in production and maintenance
• potential for exclusive use for credit, through massive online discussion and grading
• reputational benefits
• educational benefits in different disciplines
• What are the prospects of improving the fit between consortium MOOCs and teaching goals in areas, such as the humanities, where it is now widely perceived as less than good?
DIVERSITY OF DISTANCE LEARNING: OTHER TYPES

• Extension and professional development online courses (at Cornell, often large, sometimes viewable for free)
• Summer and winter session courses (at Cornell, kept small, to facilitate instructor-student interaction)
• Open Courses
• Online Learning Modules
• Hybrid vs. exclusively online
Continued expansion of support, with balance between edX MOOCs and other types, concern for resources for classroom teaching

"Cornell should proceed strategically and carefully in considering whether, and at what rate, Cornell edX offerings should occur after our current two-year commitment of eight courses ends."

Question: Does this show too little enthusiasm / too little caution about edX MOOCs?
ACCESS

• Recommendation: enhance outreach, including consideration of a new Cornell portal

• Question: What sort of Cornell portal would be most effective? How comprehensive should it be?
• At Cornell: recommendation of no credit for exclusively MOOC-based courses in any Cornell degree program.

• Endorsement of currently established bases for credit through online courses, such as Summer Session

The prospect of licensing for credit elsewhere:

• Evidence of inferior outcomes

• Cost-cutting in the expansion of higher education

• Questions: What should Cornell do? What should the prerogatives of MOOC instructors be? What role is there for the faculty in the candidate for licensing?
MONITORING AND IMPROVING QUALITY

• The potential of MOOCs for data and classroom-teaching improvement
• Quantitative metrics, consultation and mentoring: the need for balance
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS

• The need to encourage experimentation and inter-university cooperation
• The helpfulness of current practice
• Questions: what are faculty concerns?
A three-part structure for collaboration:

- Distance Learning Committee, mainly faculty, with a faculty chair, shaping policies and best practices and guiding selection for targeted funding
- Distance Learning Administrative Group, with administrators, leaders of support, and the Dean of Faculty, with final authority over initiatives using central funds, allocation of university-wide facilities and over-all direction of future plans
- Distance Learning Implementation Team, designing and managing support for distance learning

Question: Enough independent faculty voice? Too much for effective collaboration? Other, new groups needed?